

TRANSPORTATION EMERGENCY RESCUE COMMITTEE JUDGES CRITERIA WORKSHEET

SIZE-UP/EVALUATION/COORDINATION & CONTROL

Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No real organization to check the scene, access hazards, victims not immediately located, problems in developing an “attack”. A negative if no attempt made to contact visually/audibly the victim (and maintain contact) and to give instructions e.g., “do not move” – were here to help you and will be right with you” etc.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The scene is surveyed in a thorough manner to determine “what hazards are present to rescuers, what are the locations of victims, a plan developed to mitigate the situation
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Well organized thorough check of scene to locate hazards and victims. Exceptional feedback obtained, develops a safe/efficient approach to handling the situation.

INCIDENT COMMAND

Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Little command presence shown, not recognizable. IC becomes unnecessarily involved with “hands on” part of operations. Poor/unclear instructions to rescuers.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The officer takes command and directs operations to utilize the rescuers at hand. The commander must be recognizable. Clear, concise instructions to be given to crew members.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No doubt who is in command. Obviously has evaluated the situation and is one step ahead of what is really taking place. Takes advantage of all resources available to bring the situation to a satisfactory conclusion. An officer who is genuinely concerned for his crew’s well-being e.g. check on status of operations, checking on condition of individuals, etc. Instructions are given in such a manner that there is no doubt what is expected.

OFFICER CONTROL

Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Little control over what the crew is really doing. Allows “freelancing” or operations to be conducted contrary to the overall plan.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The officer maintains control of the crew to ensure a coordinated approach is taken. On the lookout for any “freelancing”.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exceptional working relationship with rescue crew. Good communications ensures that the team works in a coordinated and controlled manner. The officer is obviously approachable and open minded to input suggested by crew members.

SCENE STABILAZATION SAFETY

Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scene Hazards are not identified and therefore not addressed.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scene hazards are identified and addressed. • Onsite crews must be notified on hazards or potential hazards.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not only are scene hazards identified and addressed with all crew members knowledgeable of dangers, but these members are continually monitored to ensure that hazards are kept to a minimum, e. g. tool clutter and vehicle parts left in an area of activity during operations

PERSONNEL SAFETY

Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A disregard for individual and team safety is apparent and may require a “Freeze” of the situation
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The minimum head, eye, body, hand, and foot protection provided and utilized. Personnel work in a safe manner and aware of dangers on the scene.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Personnel have an obvious concern for their own safety as well as others on the scene. • Protective gear is properly worn. • Crew members continually monitor each other for compliance with safe work practices. • Self-imposed “Freeze” signals are utilized if need be. • Warnings/cautions of “Breaking glass”, “Cutting the post”, etc. are utilized to improve overall safety.

PATIENTS SAFETY

Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little if any communication with patients. • Obvious lack of concern for patients’ physical well-being.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication is established with patients (and maintained). • The patient is properly protected from physical harm during operations.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ther is an obvious attempt to deal with victims in a very safe manner. • The rescuers are obviously aware that they are there to assist someone in need and treat them in a manner they would like themselves or their families to be treated.

VEHICLE STABILIZATION		
Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The vehicle is not satisfactorily stabilized.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are adequate means employed to stabilize the vehicle prior to access and tool use?
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not only is the vehicle/s adequately stabilized, but a definite attempt is made to ensure it continues throughout the operation.
RESCUER ACCESS		
Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is access slow, unsafe and with compromise to the patients?
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the team gain access in a safe and timely manner?
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no doubt that access to the patient is a priority and is conducted in a safe and timely manner with consideration for everyone's well-being. Prior to direct access to the interior the rescuers have been in contact with patients.
CONTROLLED MATERIAL RELOCATION		
Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Material is in the wrong place, or an insufficient amount is relocated. Material relocation is conducted in a unsafe manner.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The right material, right amount of material is safely and efficiently relocated.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Material in the correct amounts and following the overall plan is relocated in a safe and timely manner.
KNOWLEDGE OF EQUIPMENT		
Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Team members obviously are unfamiliar with the proper, safe and efficient use of equipment.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The team members individually, and as a team, display a good knowledge of the equipment and utilize same in a safe manner.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Team members have an obvious superior knowledge of the equipment and how it is utilized in a safe and efficient manner to get the job done.
OPTIONS		
Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Options taken were not reasonable to accomplish tasks at hand. No secondary options considered – “Tunnel vision”.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reasonable options were taken to accomplish the tasks at hand. Other options had been considered if need be.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good viable options considered with a demonstration that a “Plan B” was available/or utilized if need be. The overall scenario was taken into consideration with no evidence of any “tunnel vision”.

PATH FOR PATIENT EGRESS

Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A less than adequate means of egress was accomplished.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A good means of egress has been accomplished that would allow for the proper and safe removal from the vehicle.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A means of egress was accomplished with all necessary hazards removed that would allow for the proper packaging and removal of patients without compromising their status.• The type of opening you would like for you, or a member of your family so there is minimum amount of negative movement of the patient.

SPEED IN RELATION

Below Average	0-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Poor use of time or too much emphasis on speed with disregard for safety, etc.
Average	4-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Time was reasonably well spent to accomplish tasks.
Above Average	8-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The team was well coordinated, well drilled, and utilized time in a most efficient manner to accomplish tasks.